Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tourism Management



Tourism Management

journal nomepage.

Research note

Using taxonomically-relevant condition proxies when estimating the conservation impact of wildlife tourism effects



David Lusseau*, Davina Derous

University of Aberdeen, School of Biological Sciences, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Keywords: Provisioning Wildlife tourism Shark Conservation Population consequences of disturbances	Meyer et al (2019) recently proposed that the nutritional condition of white sharks is unaffected by cage diving tourism. This conclusion was reached after analysing changes in the fatty acid profile of muscle samples collected from sharks that had spent more time around cage diving and contrasting them to sharks relatively unexposed to these activities. Here we want to caution on the interpretation of these results which do not fully take into consideration the way energy metabolism functions in elasmobranchs. We provide some alternative metabolic targets which could be retrieved from the field and would be more relevant to estimate the potential
	population consequences of tourism disturbances on white sharks.

Numerous studies over the past 30 years have shown that interactions between wildlife and tourism activities can perturb the activities of the targeted animals (Green & Higginbottom, 2000). Yet, it has been difficult to place these perturbations in a conservation context because of the challenge to understand how repeated behavioural and physiological disruptions can affect the demographic contributions of the affected individuals (Pirotta et al., 2018).

Recent analytical advances have helped to tackle this inferential challenge (Pirotta et al., 2018). The impact of tourism and recreation is broader than once thought as we have more than 2350 animal species for which tourism and recreational interactions are listed as a conservation threat on the IUCN Red List (Threat 6.1). Understanding the conservation relevance of repeated, short-term tourism impacts on individual animals hinges on incorporating them in condition-mediated population models (Christiansen & Lusseau, 2015). It is therefore important to understand how exposure to perturbations can affect the condition of individuals and therefore their demographic contributions (through survival and/or reproduction). Studies like Meyer, Pethybridge, Beckmann, Bruce, and Huveneers (2019) (Meyer et al., 2019) are therefore crucial both to understand tourism conservation impacts and to define appropriate management plans.

The condition of an individual is often estimated in the conservation ecology literature using a proxy of the volume of its fat depots with the assumption that the more fat an individual has, the better its condition will be (New et al., 2014). However, if we want to estimate 'condition' in an ecologically-relevant manner, that is as a measure of how much an individual will be able to contribute to population growth rate, then we

need to carefully understand how energy metabolism functions in the species of interest. More specifically, we cannot assume that adipose biology functions in all species in the same manner as model organisms when nutrient levels are perturbed. Subcutaneous and muscle fat density is indeed a useful measure of ecologically-relevant condition in many terrestrial mammals as well as teleost fishes (Tocher, 2003). However, in elasmobranchs, tissues and biological functions interact differently to maintain energetic homeostasis.

While indeed it may be possible to estimate dietary shift from lipid profile in elasmobranch muscles (Semeniuk, Speers-Roesch, & Rothley, 2007), there is still more work needed to understand the temporal scale at which fatty acid (FA) profile can be interpreted and how preferential accumulation in different tissues may mean that tissue-specific predator FA profile can differ from its prey consumption (Mohan, Mohan, Connelly, Walther, & McClelland, 2016; Nielsen, Clare, Hayden, Brett, & Kratina, 2017; Pethybridge, Parrish, Bruce, Young, & Nichols, 2014). However, it is unlikely that we can infer nutritional stress from the same tissue.

Elasmobranchs rely on their liver for lipid storage. Indeed, Pethybridge et al. (2014) recommend using liver biopsies over muscle biopsies for dietary inferences. Using their 2014 study, where both muscle and liver of white sharks were sampled, we can show that there is little concordance between the muscle and liver lipid profile (Fig. 1).

Importantly, the question becomes whether measures of lipid metabolism are ecologically-relevant measures of condition for elasmobranchs. In addition to the fact that extrahepatic lipid metabolism is limited in these species, ketone bodies play a much greater role in

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: d.lusseau@abdn.ac.uk (D. Lusseau).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.06.018 Received 31 May 2019; Accepted 28 June 2019 Available online 05 July 2019 0261-51777 © 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.





Fig. 1. a) Distribution of Pearson r correlation between muscle and liver % weight mass of 50 lipids (n = 7 white sharks). Muscle and liver concentration were concordant for only one out of the 50 lipids (18:0 FALD; Pearson r = 0.96, $p_{adj} = 0.04$, BH adjusted p-values for multiple testing). b) heatmap of the clustered sample similarities (based on Manly dissimilarity distance for proportions, clustered by average linkage; black = 0 dissimilarity, white = 0.46): samples within tissues are more similar to each other than samples within individuals. Data taken from Pethybridge et al., 2014 (Supplementary Tables S1&2).

energy metabolism than they do in mammals or teleost fishes (Ballantyne, 1997; Speers-Roesch & Treberg, 2010). Indeed, it is anticipated that elasmobranchs rely on ketone bodies and amino acids as oxidative fuels in muscle tissue (Speers-Roesch & Treberg, 2010; Wood, Walsh, Kajimura, McClelland, & Chew, 2010). Studies comparing fasted to satiated sharks can help us elucidate the more appropriate energy metabolism pathways to detect nutritional stress. These studies show that amino acid and nitrogen metabolism(s) are most affected and muscle protein stores changed most in response to fasting (Wood et al., 2010).

The question remains opened whether cage diving, and its associated baiting, influence the foraging ecology of white sharks to a point that it impacts their condition and therefore their ability to survive and reproduce. Observational studies indicate that significant foraging disruption may be unlikely but the integrative impact of multiple nonlethal effects of tourism interactions on shark physiological ecology is unknown (Gallagher et al., 2015). More broadly, elasmobranch tourism can affect habitat use and foraging ecology to a point that warrant concerns for the conservation of the targeted species (Gallagher et al., 2015). The approach used by Meyer et al. (2019) will help to estimate the potential population consequences of tourism disturbances. However, it would be useful to focus on muscle amino acid and ketone bodies metabolomics profile and plasma markers of the glutamateglutamine-urea pathway to address this issue and not lipid metabolism.

More broadly, much of our understanding of the physiology of 'condition' has been driven by the study of a small subset of species which do not represent the diversity of energy metabolism(s) present in species likely to suffer from non-lethal, condition-mediated conservation threats. This should be the focus of attention to better understand the population consequences of disturbances (Mandelman, 2012; National Academies of Sciences and Medicine, 2017).

Declarations of interest

None.

Author contribution

DL and DD discussed Meyer et al.'s findings (2019) and developed the idea for this short communication. DL drafted the short communication with input from DD.

References

- Ballantyne, J. S. (1997). Jaws: The inside story. The metabolism of elasmobranch fishes. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 118(4), 703–742. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0491(97)00272-1.
- Christiansen, F., & Lusseau, D. (2015). Linking behavior to vital rates to measure the effects of non-lethal disturbance on wildlife. *Conservation Letters*, 8(6)https://doi.org/ 10.1111/conl.12166.
- Gallagher, A. J., Vianna, G. M. S., Papastamatiou, Y. P., Macdonald, C., Guttridge, T. L., & Hammerschlag, N. (2015). Biological effects, conservation potential, and research priorities of shark diving tourism. *Biological Conservation*, 184, 365–379. https://doi. org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2015.02.007.
- Green, R. J., & Higginbottom, K. (2000). The effects of non-consumptive wildlife tourism on free-ranging wildlife: A review. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 6(3), 183. https://doi. org/10.1071/PC000183.
- Mandelman, J. W. (2012). The physiological response to anthropogenic stressors in marine elasmobranch fishes: A review with a focus on the secondary response. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 162(2), 146–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CBPA.2011.10.002.
- Meyer, L., Pethybridge, H., Beckmann, C., Bruce, B., & Huveneers, C. (2019). The impact of wildlife tourism on the foraging ecology and nutritional condition of an apex predator. *Tourism Management*, 75, 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TOURMAN. 2019.04.025.
- Mohan, S. D., Mohan, J. A., Connelly, T. L., Walther, B. D., & McClelland, J. W. (2016). Fatty-acid biomarkers and tissue-specific turnover: Validation from a controlled feeding study in juvenile Atlantic croaker *Micropogonias undulatus. Journal of Fish Biology*, 89(4), 2004–2023. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.13099.
- National Academies of Sciences and Medicine, E. (2017). Approaches to understanding the cumulative effects of stressors on marine mammals. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/23479.
- New, L. F., Clark, J. S., Costa, D. P., Fleishman, E., Hindell, M. A., Klanjšček, T., et al. (2014). Using short-term measures of behaviour to estimate long-term fitness of southern elephant seals. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 496https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps10547.
- Nielsen, J. M., Clare, E. L., Hayden, B., Brett, M. T., & Kratina, P. (2017). Diet tracing in ecology: Method comparison and selection. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12869.
- Pethybridge, H. R., Parrish, C. C., Bruce, B. D., Young, J. W., & Nichols, P. D. (2014). Lipid, fatty acid and energy density profiles of white sharks: Insights into the feeding ecology and ecophysiology of a complex top predator. *PLoS One*, 9(5), e97877. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097877.
- Pirotta, E., Booth, C. G., Costa, D. P., Fleishman, E., Kraus, S. D., Lusseau, D., et al. (2018). Understanding the population consequences of disturbance. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(19), 9934–9946. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4458.
- Semeniuk, C. A. D., Speers-Roesch, B., & Rothley, K. D. (2007). Using fatty-acid profile Analysis as an ecologic indicator in the management of tourist impacts on marine wildlife: A case of stingray-feeding in the caribbean. *Environmental Management*, 40(4), 665–677. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-006-0321-8.
- Speers-Roesch, B., & Treberg, J. R. (2010). The unusual energy metabolism of

Tourism Management 75 (2019) 547-549

elasmobranch fishes. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 155(4), 417–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CBPA.2009.09.031.

 Tocher, D. R. (2003). Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 11(2), 107–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/713610925.
Wood, C. M., Walsh, P. J., Kajimura, M., McClelland, G. B., & Chew, S. F. (2010). The influence of feeding and fasting on plasma metabolites in the dogfish shark (Squalua acanthias). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 155(4), 435–444. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CBPA.2009.09.006.



David Lusseau is Professor of Behavioural Biology at the University of Aberdeen. He works at the intersection of life, formal, and social sciences to understand how individuals make decisions when uncertain and what the consequences of those decisions are for their lives and their contributions to others. He obtained his PhD at the University of Otago in 2003. He was elected Fellow of the Royal Statistical Society in 2009, the Royal Society of Biology in 2016, and member of the Young Academy of Scotland in 2011. He currently serves on IUCN Species Survival Commission, IUCN Sustainable Use and Livelihoods Specialist Group, and the UN World Ocean Assessment II writing team.



Davina Derous is Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of Aberdeen. She is a system physiologist with broad interests in understanding how energy metabolism dynamics shape the lives of individuals. She obtained her PhD in Biological Sciences at the University of Aberdeen in 2017 and her MSc in nutrition and health from Wageningen University in 2013.